wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Mi	guel Johnathan Felix-Rodriguez	Case Number: <u>08-6357M</u>
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 as represented by counsel. I conclude by a e defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on October 30, 2008. Defendant was preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
	FI	NDINGS OF FACT
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the Uni	ted States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charge	ed offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imprisonment.
The Co at the time of the	he hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour in the record.  ICLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable op tates or on request of an attorney for the does not be united.	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in the persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a course covernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the person in connection with a court proceeding.  ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this de	stention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a iently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria e District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE	ED this 31 <sup>st</sup> day of October, 2008	3.
		Jan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan D

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge